

Topic	Date raised	Method raised	SCC concerns
Traffic management	Sept 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Re. reconstruction of Balcombe Road bridge - there is no mention of closing Balcombe Road on the TM info. An overbridge replacement will most likely close the road under to pedestrian for a period/temporary tunnel underneath to protect pedestrians.
Traffic management	Sept 22 TWG	TWG feedback	A23 Longbridge reconstruction - appears that south side utility bridge won't be used for pedestrians. Should be considered further as the alternative route would be to use the north footway and then go anticlockwise around the whole roundabout. A widened utility bridge for pedestrians etc. would need to be considered in the scheme boundary extent. A controlled pedestrian crossing may need to be considered north/east of the Longbridge Roundabout if users are expected to use the north footway.
Traffic management	Sept 22 TWG	TWG feedback	A23 Longbridge reconstruction sequence shows the north utility bridge will be used for pedestrians etc. This appears a fairly long temporary bridge and pedestrian route and it will need to be fairly wide. Again the width will need to be determined now to inform the scheme boundary (this may be less of an issue on this side as the scheme boundary will be wide to include the attenuation ponds in the adjoining field).
Traffic management	Nov 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Longbridge roundabout is a busy HGV route with large/abnormal loads so would like to understand widths proposed and alternative provision for diverted large vehicles if they can't use the M23
Construction	Nov 22 TWG	TWG feedback	More detail needed on impact on Balcombe Road
Landscape	May 22TWG	TWG feedback	Candidate viewpoint 5b (Longbridge roundabout) Is this the best location/orientation to capture the extent of vegetation removal/other proposed scheme changes in this area. Has eastern side of roundabout looking north-west been considered?
Landscape	May 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Seek confirmation that following scheme changes, additional field surveys etc that there is still no candidate viewpoint over Charlwood/Charlwood village edge?
Landscape	May 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Is it proposed to provide additional photography/visualisations to cover different angles of view eg VP2
Landscape	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	Request for winter photography to be included
Landscape	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	Clarification sought that Surrey Hills is covered in the tranquility assessment
Landscape	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	Request for photomontages to cover the construction period, given that this will be a lengthy period. This particularly applies to the construction compounds and elements such as the tall batching plants
Landscape	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	Query around visualisation type to be used. SCC of the view that type 4 would be appropriate for this scale of scheme. If level 3 to be used, justification for this approach should be provided
Landscape	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	LVIA should also take into account potential changes to the Surrey Hills AONB boundary which will shortly be the subject of statutory public consultation
Landscape	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	The revised ZTV shows areas where existing and proposed elements of the airport are visible, beyond the core 5km study area. As per the process for assessing the effect on the long-distance Leith Hill viewpoint, the LVIA should include commentary on potential effects on other promoted viewpoints within the Surrey Hills AONB. For example, the airport is definitely visible from Box Hill. I am not advocating the need for additional photo viewpoints, rather the use of the Leith Hill viewpoint to illustrate potentially similar effects at other AONB viewpoints a similar distance from the airport.
Emergency Planning	July 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Query whether an increase in flight movements would lead to an increase in fuel storage? This would need further Emergency Planning work at these sites
Scheme development	Nov 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Request for information on options appraisal/alternatives considered promised in July TWG
Scheme development	Nov 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Construction compound plans referenced in session - request for information to be shared
ROW	Oct 22 L&W TWG	TWG feedback	Longbridge roundabout towards north terminal - south west section is not currently public open space. Is the intention to dedicate as public right of way?
ROW	Oct 22 L&W TWG	TWG feedback	South terminal, NCR 21 and car park B - new pedestrian link. Plan to dedicate as public right of way?
ROW	Oct 22 L&W TWG	TWG feedback	Query re engagement with Sustrans who manage NCR21
MAAD	Dec 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Query around any hazard relating to the storage of hydrogen for construction fleet if used
MAAD	Dec 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Query around whether there are any changes regarding the Fuel Farm – significant as it is a COMAH site
MAAD	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	Query around how emergency services are being involved - for example in relation to TMP and access to East Surrey hospital
Active travel	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	Will there be police input re design, in particular lighting and safety, for active travel routes.
Highways	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback and draft DCO feedback (May 23)	Both Surrey and West Sussex have commenced operation of Lane Rental Schemes under S74a of NRSWA '91. Request for engagement re consideration of Lane Rental schemes as well as Permit scheme within DCO
Biodiversity	Sept 22 TWG	TWG feedback	On Additionality - There is a level of guidance available for this – (examples included). It would be expected that any biodiversity net gain calculation or assessment would demonstrate additionality, where required.
Biodiversity	Sept 22 TWG	TWG feedback	You state mitigation will be informed by pre-commencement surveys, and that this approach has been provided to Natural England. Have you received a response from Natural England on this approach?
Biodiversity	Sept 22 TWG	TWG feedback	Rivers for BNG – this does require a certified individual (on completion of the MoRPh Rivers training).
Structures	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	A new ditch is noted at the N/W side of A23 Brighton Rd . We're not aware yet in the structure proposals for retaining walls along most of the length here, particularly to the north of the Mole River bridge where the embankment footprint doesn't seem large.
Structures	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	The rail bridge works images show night-time works. Is night works proposed for some of the works affecting SCC roads (A23 & Balcombe Rd) to minimise daytime closures?
Structures	Jan 23 TWG	TWG feedback	A23 temporary panel bridge. This will need to be suitable for STGO vehicles as this does serve as a primary route into Horley.
Water	Sep-22	Drainage design meeting follow up - draft drainage strategy report shared	Queries re. maintenance access to ponds , climate change allowances, betterment etc
Water	17th November 2022	LLFA drainage design meeting minutes	Want to see workings in discounting above ground storage and conveyance for each location where it has been discounted - justification required
Carbon	Jul-22	Targeted statutory consultation	Disappointing that the carbon values used in the economic impact assessment have not yet been updated to reflect latest carbon values issued by BEIS.
Noise	Jul-22	Targeted statutory consultation	Noise envelope design process did not follow best practice guidance set out in CAP1129 or good practice from other airports. We would have expected local authorities and stakeholder groups to have been involved in the envelope design team from the outset and prior to the statutory consultation in September 2021 with the process examining all noise envelope options, metrics and limits from a first principles basis. The CAA recognises the potential need for independent, technical advisory third parties to assist stakeholders to reach agreement, but there was no such involvement at Gatwick. Luton and Heathrow, other airports to have carried out work on noise envelopes, set up independently chaired and advised, well-resourced, multi-stakeholder groups. The noise envelope group set up following consultation should have had an independent chair rather than being chaired by an airport employee. This would have given greater confidence in the process to community and local authority stakeholders.
Noise	Jul-22	Targeted statutory consultation	GAL is not providing the necessary info and analysis for effective noise envelope engagement
Noise	Jul-22	Targeted statutory consultation plus additional explanation	The noise envelope thresholds are not agreed. In particular, using the slow transition case as the basis of the noise envelope rather than the more likely central case fleet provides limited incentive on GAL to achieve a faster fleet transition and secure noise benefits.

Noise	Jul-22	Targeted statutory consultation plus additional explanation	The proposed monitoring, review and enforcement of the noise envelope is not agreed. We would like to see an environmentally managed or 'mitigate to grow' approach to implementation and enforcement (as was being developed by Heathrow for its R3 DCO and proposed by Luton in its Green Controlled Growth Framework). There should be 5 yearly or less reviews of the noise envelope built into the process once the DCO is made. A first review of the contour 9 years after opening or when 382,000 ATMs is achieved again provides limited incentive on GAL to achieve a faster fleet transition and secure noise benefits.
Noise	Dec-21	Statutory Consultation	It is acknowledged that airspace changes through FASI-S will be needed to accommodate the anticipated growth in ATMs in the LTMA from Gatwick and other airports. The insulation scheme must therefore be flexible enough to mitigate different impacts post FASI-S.
health	Dec-21	Statutory consultation Dec 21	We expect to see cumulative and combined effects on the health of specific populations clearly assessed - Charlwood and Horley
Water	Jul-22	Targeted statutory consultation	The Environment Agency have also updated the climate change allowances for the 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 rainfall events for the catchment containing Gatwick. It is unclear from the consultation document whether GAL are using Central Allowance or Upper end Allowance. It is assumed that the 2070s development lifetime is used as that takes account of development with a lifetime between 2061 to 2125?
Draft DCO	Jun-23	Combined authority comments on dDCO	SCC comments incorporated into combined response. County council specific comment such as need for protective provisions for drainage authority